IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 14334 of 2004

Between:

Prayaga Satya Venkata Triyambaka Janaki Ramaiah,

S/o Laxminarayana,
R/o Vahanala Veedhi, Opp: Satya Deva Type Institute,

Annavaram, East Godavari District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Sri Veera Venkata Satyanarayana Swamy Devasthanam,

Rep. by its Executive Officer,
Annavaram, East Godavari District.
2 The Commissioner of Endowments, Govt. of A.P.,

Tilak Road, Hyderabad.
3 Indraganti Rama Sastry,

R/o Annavaram, East Godavari District.
4 Vedula Sankara Rao, R/o Annavaram, East Godavari District.
(Respondents 3 and 4 are not necessary parties).

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents 1 and 2 in not extending regular time scale attached to the post of Paricharika to the petitioner, while extending the said benefits to the respondents 3 and 4 herein which are juniors to the petitioner in

the cadre of Paricharika and on the other hand promoting respondents 3 and 4 herein as archakas without finalizing the seniority of religious office holders of the 1st respondent institution as illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory ,accommodative and violative or principles of natural justice under Art. 14, 16 and 21 of Constitution of India and direct the respondents 1 and 2 to regularize the services of the petitioner to the time scale of Rs.2870/- in R.S.P. 1999,

For the Petitioner: MR.B.V.RAM NARESH KUMAR, Advocate.

For the Respondent No.1: Smt. N. Indrani, SC for Endowments Temple

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: G.P. FOR ENDOWMENTS

The Court made the following:

W.P.No.14334 of 2004

ORDER:

The petitioner claims that he is working as a Paricharika in the 1st respondent-temple from the year, 1995 on a daily wage of Rs.15/- and he has been working as such un-interruptedly till date. The petitioner contended that the 2nd respondent approved the action of the 1st respondent in having engaged the services of the petitioner through the order dated 27-02-2003. The further contention of the petitioner is that the 1st respondent promoted three Paricharikas to the cadre of Archakas and ultimately, the total work load of the paricharikas has to be attended by the petitioner.

The grievance of the petitioner is that though he is senior to the respondents 3 and 4, he was ignored for promotion as Archaka and the respondents 3 and 4 are extended the benefit by showing discrimination towards him. The petitioner further submits that he requested the respondents to implement G.O.Ms.No.261 Revenue (Endowments-I) Department, dated 20-05-2002 and also G.O.Ms.No.720 Revenue (Endowments-I) Department, dated 20-11-2001. The petitioner further submits that he made a latest representation on 13-09-2003 to consider his request.

In the light of the circumstances explained by the petitioner, the 2nd respondent is directed to consider the representation of the petitioner in the light of the Government Orders mentioned above and pass appropriate orders as per the

Rules and the Government Orders, within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this Order.

The Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

_____

Dr.G. Yethirajulu, J

13th August, 2004.

Note: Furnish copy in two days

B/o.

lsn/tsy

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Executive Officer,

Sri Veera Venkata Satyanarayana Swamy Devasthanam,

Annavaram, East Godavari District.

2. The Commissioner of Endowments,

Govt. of A.P.,

Tilak Road, Hyderabad.

3. Two CCs to the G.P. for Endowments,

High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (O.U.T.).

4. Two CD Copies.